

TOWN OF FREEPORT, MAINE
Planning Department
30 Main Street
Freeport, ME04032
Phone: 207-865-4743

www.freeportmaine.com

TO: FREEPORT PROJECT REVIEW BOARD FROM: CAROLINE PELLETIER, TOWN PLANNER

RE: STAFF REPORT

DATE: WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 2023

LLBean (5 Campus Drive) – Site Plan Amendment		
Property Location:	Tax Assessor Map 22, Lot 22 (5 Campus Drive)	
Zoning Information:	Industrial II (I-II)	
Review Type(s):	Site Plan Amendment	
Waivers Requested:	None	

Background: The applicant is seeking approval of a Site Plan Amendment for a rear parking lot renovation at 5 Campus Drive. The changes include alterations to parking lot islands and changes to the striping of stalls and aisle widths to accommodate commercial vehicles. All changes are within the boundaries of the existing parking lot area. There will be no increase to the amount of impervious area on the site.

The area is currently stiped for standard vehicular parking, however will be restriped to accommodate commercial vehicles using the site. Aisle width and stall dimensions will be updated to accommodate the length and turning radius required for the commercial vehicles. The aisle width will be 50 feet wide and the stalls will be 12 feet in width by 60 feet in length. This will mostly involve restriping, however some existing landscaped islands will be removed and a new large landscaped island (grass with a tree) will be installed for no overall increase in the amount of impervious area. The applicant will remove and install existing light poles which are 40 feet in height. The lighting fixtures will be updated (reusing the existing poles) to a full cut-off LED style, as shown in the submission. An updated photometrics plan has been included in the submission. The applicant does have an existing Site Location of Development Permit for the site, however due to the size and nature of the changes, an amendment will not be required.

The submission also notes that the applicant does intend to return to the Board at a later date for additional changes to the previously approved site plan. The applicant is proposing to retain existing vegetation and any previously approved landscaping/buffers. No changes to the use or building are proposed with this application.

Proposed Findings of Fact: This project requires a Site Plan Amendment. A draft version of proposed findings for each of the standards is presented here for Board review, consideration and deliberation. Since the findings of fact for any project are findings of the Board, these draft findings can be altered at the meeting as appropriate:

Proposed Findings of Fact: Section 602.F.1 of the Town of Freeport Zoning Ordinance

a. Preservation of Landscape: The landscape shall be developed in such a manner as to be in keeping with the character of the surrounding neighborhoods and in accordance with good development practice by minimizing tree and soil removal, retaining existing vegetation where desirable, and keeping any grade changes in character with the general appearance of neighboring areas. If a site includes a ridge or ridges above the surrounding areas and provides scenic vistas for surrounding areas, special attempts shall be made to preserve the natural environment of the skyline of the ridge. Existing vegetation and buffering landscaping are potential methods of preserving the scenic vista.

The changes include alterations to parking lot islands and changes to the striping of stalls and aisle widths to accommodate commercial vehicles. All changes are within the boundaries of the existing parking lot area. Existing landscaping and buffers will be retained with the exception of some existing parking lot islands. No changes to the building are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

b. Relation of Proposed Buildings to the Environment: The design and layout of the buildings and/or other development areas shall encourage safety, including fire protection. Proposed structures shall be related harmoniously to the terrain and to existing buildings and land uses in the vicinity which have a visual relationship to the proposed buildings. Visual compatibility, not uniformity with the surrounding area, shall be emphasized. Special attention shall be paid to the scale (mass), height and bulk, proportions of the proposed buildings, the nature of the open spaces (setbacks, landscaping) around the buildings, the design of the buildings (including roof style, facade openings, architectural style and details), building materials and signs.

If the structure is in the Design Review District, the Project Review Board shall incorporate the findings of the standards or the Design Review Ordinance in its Site Plan Review findings.

No changes to the building are proposed with this application. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

c. Vehicular Access: The proposed layout of access points shall be designed so as to avoid unnecessary adverse impacts on existing vehicular and pedestrial traffic patterns. Special consideration shall be given to the location, number, and control of access points, adequacy of adjacent streets, traffic flow, sight distances, turning lanes, and existing or proposed traffic signalization and pedestrial-vehicular contacts. The entrance to the site shall meet the minimum sight distance according to MDOT standards to the greatest extent possible

Vehicular access to the site will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

d. **Parking and Circulation**: The layout and design of all means of vehicular and pedestrial circulation, including walkways, interior drives, and parking areas shall be safe and convenient and, insofar as practical, shall not detract from the proposed buildings and neighboring properties. General interior circulation, separation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic, service traffic, drive-up facilities, loading areas, and the arrangement and use of parking areas shall be considered.

The area is currently stiped for standard vehicular parking however will be restriped to accommodate commercial vehicles using the site. Aisle width and stall dimensions will be updated to accommodate the length and turning radius required for the commercial vehicles. The aisle width will be 50 feet wide and the stalls will be 12 feet in width by 60 feet in length. This will mostly involve restriping. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

e. **Surface Water Drainage**: Adequate provisions shall be made for surface drainage so that removal of surface waters will not adversely affect neighboring properties, down-stream conditions, or the public storm drainage system. The increase in rate of runoff in the post development condition shall be held to a zero or less percent of the predevelopment condition unless an engineering study has been performed as described in Section 529.2 above. On-site absorption shall be utilized to minimize discharges whenever possible. All drainage calculations shall be based on a two-year, ten year and twenty-five-year storm frequency. Emphasis shall be placed on the protection of floodplains; reservation of stream corridors; establishment of drainage rights-of-way and the adequacy of the existing system; and the need for improvements, both on-site and off-site, to adequately control the rate, volume and velocity of storm drainage and the quality of the stormwater leaving the site. Maintenance responsibilities shall be reviewed to determine their adequacy.

This will mostly involve restriping, however some existing landscaped islands will be removed and a new large landscaped island (grass with a tree) will be installed for no overall increase in the amount of impervious area. The applicant does have an existing Site Location of Development Permit for the site, however due to the size and nature of the changes, an amendment will not be required. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

f. **Utilities**: All utilities included in the site plan shall be reviewed as to their adequacy, safety, and impact on the property under review and surrounding properties. The site plan shall show what provisions are being proposed for water supply, wastewater, solid waste disposal and storm drainage. Whenever feasible, as determined by the Project Review Board, all electric, telephone and other utility lines shall be installed underground. Any utility installations above ground shall be located so as to have a harmonious relationship with neighboring properties and the site.

No changes to water or sewer utilities are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

g. Advertising Features: The size, location, texture and lighting of all exterior signs and outdoor advertising structures or features shall not detract from the layout of the property and the design of proposed buildings and structures and the surrounding properties, and shall not constitute hazards to vehicles and pedestrians.

No signs are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

h. Special Features: Exposed storage areas, exposed machinery installations, service areas, truck loading areas, utility buildings and structures, similar accessory areas and structures, shall be subject to such setbacks, screen plantings or other screening methods as shall reasonably be required to prevent their being incongruous with the existing or contemplated environment and the

surrounding properties.

There are no special features associated with this project. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

i. Exterior Lighting: All exterior lighting shall be designed to encourage energy efficiency, to ensure safe movement of people and vehicles, and to minimize adverse impact on neighboring properties and public ways. Adverse impact is to be judged in terms of hazards to people and vehicular traffic and potential damage to the value of adjacent properties. Lighting shall be arranged to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties and the traveling public. For all proposed lighting, the source of the light shall be shielded and the light should be directed to the ground, except in the case of ground sign lighting. In the Village Commercial 1 and 2 Districts, lighting for pedestrian walkways and adjacent public sidewalks shall also be provided.

The applicant will remove and re-install existing light poles which are 40 feet in height. The lighting fixture itself will be updated to a full cut-off LED style, as shown in the submission. An updated photometrics plan has also been included. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

j. Emergency Vehicle Access: Provisions shall be made for providing and maintaining convenient and safe emergency vehicle access to all buildings and structures at all times.

All public safety departments heads have reviewed the plans. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

k. Landscaping: Landscaping shall be designed and installed to define, soften, or screen the appearance of off-street parking areas from the public right(s)-of-way and abutting properties, to enhance the physical design of the building(s) and site, and to minimize the encroachment of the proposed use on neighboring land uses. Particular attention should be paid to the use of planting to break up parking areas. The landscape shall be preserved in its natural state, insofar as practical, by minimizing tree and soil removal, retaining existing vegetation where desirable, and keeping any grade changes in character with the general appearance of neighboring areas. Landscaping shall be provided as part of the overall site plan design and integrated into building arrangements, topography, parking and buffering requirements. Landscaping may include trees, bushes, shrubs, ground cover, perennials, annuals, plants, grading and the use of building and paving materials in an imaginative manner.

Existing landscaping and buffers will be retained with the exception of some existing parking lot islands. A new larger landscaped parking lot island will be installed and will contain grass and a tree. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

- **I. Environmental Considerations**: A site plan shall not be approved unless it meets the following criteria:
 - a. Will maintain safe and healthful conditions;
 - b. Will not result in water pollution, erosion, or sedimentation to surface waters;
 - c. Will adequately provide for the disposal of all wastewater;
 - d. Will not have an adverse impact on spawning grounds, fish, aquatic life, bird or other

- wildlife habitat;
- e. Will conserve shore cover and visual, as well as actual, points of access to inland and coastal waters;
- f. Will protect archaeological and historic resources as designated in the comprehensive plan;
- g. Will not adversely affect existing commercial fishing or maritime activities in the Marine Waterfront District;
- h. Will avoid problems associated with floodplain development and use; and
- i. Is in conformance with the standards of Section 306, Land Use Standards, of the Townof Freeport Shoreland Zoning Ordinance.

This parcel is not within the Marine Waterfront District or the Shoreland Zone. No changes to existing utility connections are proposed. No known historic or archaeologic resources will be negatively impacted by this project. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

Conclusion: Based on these facts the Board finds that this project meets the criteria and standards of the Freeport Zoning Ordinance.

<u>Proposed Motion</u>: Be it ordered that the Freeport Project Review Board approve the printed Findings of Fact and Site Plan Amendment for LL Bean, Inc for a parking lots alterations at the LLBean Order Fulfillment Center at 5 Campus Drive (Tax Assessor Map 22 Lot 22), to be built substantially as proposed, plan set dated 02/15/23, revised through 02/28/23, finding that it meets the standards of the Freeport Zoning Ordinance, with the following Conditions of Approval:

- 1) This approval incorporates by reference all supporting plans that amend the previously approved plans submitted by the applicant and their representatives at Project Review Board meetings and hearings on the subject application to the extent that they are not in conflict with other stated conditions.
- 2) Prior to any site work associated with this approval, the applicant do the following:
 - a) Establish an escrow account, in the amount of \$TBD to cover the cost of inspections of the site improvements by the Town.
 - b) The developer have a pre-construction meeting with Town staff.
 - c) The applicant obtain any applicable permits from the Freeport Codes Enforcement Officer.

Gritty McDuff's - Design Review Certificate		
Property Location:	Tax Assessor Map 8, Lot 23 (187 Lower Main Street)	
Zoning Information:	Commercial III (C-3)	
Review Type(s):	Design Review Certificate	
Waivers Requested:	None	

Background: The applicant is seeking approval of a Design Review Certificate for changes to an existing ground sign at their property at 187 Lower Main Street. The sign is located near the driveway entrance to the property with the proposal to include no changes to the location of the ground sign itself, but rather a change to the panel that currently has changeable letters. The sign face is now proposed to be an internally illuminated electronic message display sign with full color options. The overall dimensions of the sign face will be three feet by four feet. No other changes are proposed.

Proposed Findings of Fact: This project requires a Design Review Certificate. A draft version of

proposed findings for each standard is presented here for Board review, consideration and deliberation. Since the findings of fact for any project are findings of the Board, these draft findings can be altered at the meeting as appropriate:

Design Review Ordinance: Chapter 22 Section VII.C.

1. Scale of the Building. The scale of a building depends on its overall size, the mass of it in relationship to the open space around it, and the sizes of its doors, windows, porches and balconies. The scale gives a building "presence"; that is, it makes it seem big or small, awkward or graceful, overpowering or unimportant. The scale of a building should be visually compatible with its site and with its neighborhood.

The scale of the building will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

2. <u>Height</u>. A sudden dramatic change in building height can have a jarring effect on the streetscape, i.e., the way the whole street looks. A tall building can shade its neighbors and/or the street. The height or buildings should be visually compatible with the heights of the buildings in the neighborhood.

The height of the building will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

3. <u>Proportion of Building's Front Facade</u>. The "first impression" a building gives is that of its front facade, the side of the building, which faces the most frequently used public way. The relationship of the width to the height of the front facade should be visually compatible with that of its neighbors.

The proportion of the building's front façade will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

4. Rhythm of Solids to Voids in Front Facades. When you look at any facade of a building, you see openings such as doors or windows (voids) in the wall surface (solid). Usually the voids appear as dark areas, almost holes, in the solid and they are quite noticeable, setting up a pattern or rhythm. The pattern of solids and voids in the front facade of a new or altered building should be visually compatible with that of its neighbors.

The rhythm of solids to voids in the front facades will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

5. <u>Proportions of Opening within the Facility</u>. Windows and doors come in a variety of shapes and sizes; even rectangular window and door openings can appear quite different depending on their dimensions. The relationship of the height of windows and doors to their width should be visually compatible with the architectural style of the building and with that of its neighbors.

The proportions of openings within the facility will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

6. <u>Roof Shapes</u>. A roof can have a dramatic impact on the appearance of a building. The shape and proportion of the roof should be visually compatible with the architectural style of the building and with those of neighboring buildings.

The roof shape will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

7. Relationship of Facade Materials. The facades of a building are what give it character, and the character varies depending on the materials of which the facades are made and their texture. In Freeport, many different materials are used on facades - clapboards, shingles, patterned shingles, brick - depending on the architectural style of the building. The facades of a building, particularly the front facade, should be visually compatible with those of other buildings around it.

No changes to façade materials are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

8. Rhythm of Spaces to Building on Streets. The building itself is not the only thing you see when you look at it; you are also aware of the space where the building is not, i.e., the open space which is around the building. Looking along a street, the buildings and open spaces set up a rhythm. The rhythm of spaces to buildings should be considered when determining visual compatibility, whether it is between buildings or between buildings and the street (setback).

Rhythm of spaces to buildings on the streets are not being altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

9. <u>Site Features</u>. The size, placement and materials of walks, walls, fences, signs, driveways and parking areas may have a visual impact on a building. These features should be visually compatible with the building and neighboring buildings.

No change to any site features are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

10. In addition to the requirements of the Freeport Sign Ordinance, <u>signs</u> in the Freeport Design Review District shall be reviewed for the following: materials, illumination, colors, lettering style, location on site or building, size and scale. Minor changes that do not alter the dimensions or lettering style of an existing sign need not be reviewed, i.e. personal name changes for professional offices, or changes in hours of operation. See Special Publication: "Sign Application Requirements".

The sign is located near the driveway entrance to the property with the proposal to include no changes to the location of the ground sign itself, but rather a change to the panel that currently has changeable letters. The sign face is now proposed to be an internally illuminated electronic message display sign with full color options. The overall dimensions of the sign face will be three feet by four feet. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

Conclusion: Based on these facts the Board finds that this project meets the criteria and standards of the Design Review Ordinance.

<u>Proposed Motion</u>: Be it ordered that the Freeport Project Review Board approve the printed Findings of Fact and Design Review Certificate for Center Cotton LLC dba Gritty McDuff's, for alterations to an existing ground sign at 187 Lower Main Street (Tax Assessor Map 8, Lot 23), to be built substantially as proposed, application dated 03/27/2023, finding that it meets the standards of Freeport Design Review Ordinance, with the following Conditions of Approval:

- 1) This approval incorporates by reference all supporting plans that amend the previously approved plans submitted by the applicant and their representatives at Project Review Board meetings and hearings on the subject application to the extent that they are not in conflict with other stated conditions.
- 2) Prior to any construction, the applicant obtain any applicable permits from the Freeport Codes Enforcement Officer.

4 Park Street – Design Review Certificate		
Property Location:	Tax Assessor Map 13, Lot 68 (4 Park Street)	
Zoning Information:	Village Mixed Use District II (VMU-II), Freeport Village Overlay District (FVOD),	
	and Design Review District II – Class B	
Review Type(s):	Design Review Certificate	
Waivers Requested:	None	

Background: The applicant is seeking approval of a Design Review Certificate to add roof mounted solar panels at a residential property at 4 Park Street. The building is currently classified as Class B in Design Review District I and per Section V.A of the Freeport Design Review Ordinance, a Design Review Certificate is required for the proposed changes: "7. Any material change in the exterior appearance of existing Class C buildings by addition, reconstruction or alteration, if subject to view from a public street or public right of way within the Districts;"

The roof mounted solar panels are proposed on two portions of the roof of the existing structure and will be visible from the road. Currently, the Design Review Ordinance does not contain a specific reference to solar panels, however per Section V.A.7, is before the Board as a material change. There are other properties within the Design Review District that have roof mounted solar panels.

Proposed Findings of Fact: This project requires a Design Review Certificate. A draft version of proposed findings for each standard is presented here for Board review, consideration and deliberation. Since the findings of fact for any project are findings of the Board, these draft findings can be altered at the meeting as appropriate:

Design Review Ordinance: Chapter 22 Section VII.C.

1. <u>Scale of the Building</u>. The scale of a building depends on its overall size, the mass of it in relationship to the open space around it, and the sizes of its doors, windows, porches and balconies. The scale gives a building "presence"; that is, it makes it seem big or small, awkward or graceful, overpowering or unimportant. The scale of a building should be visually compatible with its site and with its neighborhood.

The scale of the building will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

2. <u>Height</u>. A sudden dramatic change in building height can have a jarring effect on the streetscape, i.e., the way the whole street looks. A tall building can shade its neighbors and/or the street. The height or buildings should be visually compatible with the heights of the buildings in the neighborhood.

The height of the building will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

3. Proportion of Building's Front Facade. The "first impression" a building gives is that of its front facade, the side of the building, which faces the most frequently used public way. The relationship of the width to the height of the front facade should be visually compatible with that of its neighbors.

The proportion of the building's front façade will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

4. Rhythm of Solids to Voids in Front Facades. When you look at any facade of a building, you see openings such as doors or windows (voids) in the wall surface (solid). Usually the voids appear as dark areas, almost holes, in the solid and they are quite noticeable, setting up a pattern or rhythm. The pattern of solids and voids in the front facade of a new or altered building should be visually compatible with that of its neighbors.

The rhythm of solids to voids in the front facades will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

5. <u>Proportions of Opening within the Facility</u>. Windows and doors come in a variety of shapes and sizes; even rectangular window and door openings can appear quite different depending on their dimensions. The relationship of the height of windows and doors to their width should be visually compatible with the architectural style of the building and with that of its neighbors.

The proportions of openings within the facility will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

6. <u>Roof Shapes</u>. A roof can have a dramatic impact on the appearance of a building. The shape and proportion of the roof should be visually compatible with the architectural style of the building and with those of neighboring buildings.

The roof shape will not be altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

7. <u>Relationship of Facade Materials</u>. The facades of a building are what give it character, and the character varies depending on the materials of which the facades are made and their texture. In Freeport, many different materials are used on facades - clapboards, shingles, patterned

shingles, brick - depending on the architectural style of the building. The facades of a building, particularly the front facade, should be visually compatible with those of other buildings around it.

The applicant is proposing roof mounted solar panels on an existing structure. The solar panels will be installed on two sections of the roof, with varying visibility. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

8. Rhythm of Spaces to Building on Streets. The building itself is not the only thing you see when you look at it; you are also aware of the space where the building is not, i.e., the open space which is around the building. Looking along a street, the buildings and open spaces set up a rhythm. The rhythm of spaces to buildings should be considered when determining visual compatibility, whether it is between buildings or between buildings and the street (setback).

Rhythm of spaces to buildings on the streets are not being altered. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

9. <u>Site Features</u>. The size, placement and materials of walks, walls, fences, signs, driveways and parking areas may have a visual impact on a building. These features should be visually compatible with the building and neighboring buildings.

No change to any site features are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

10. In addition to the requirements of the Freeport Sign Ordinance, <u>signs</u> in the Freeport Design Review District shall be reviewed for the following: materials, illumination, colors, lettering style, location on site or building, size and scale. Minor changes that do not alter the dimensions or lettering style of an existing sign need not be reviewed, i.e. personal name changes for professional offices, or changes in hours of operation. See Special Publication: "Sign Application Requirements".

No new signs are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

Conclusion: Based on these facts the Board finds that this project meets the criteria and standards of the Design Review Ordinance.

<u>Proposed Motion</u>: Be it ordered that the Freeport Project Review Board approve the printed Findings of Fact and Design Review Certificate for Kristine Corey (on behalf of Marc Spruiell) for exterior alterations at 4 Park Street (Tax Assessor Map 13, Lot 68), to be substantially as proposed, application dated 03/27/2023, finding that it meets the standards of Freeport Design Review Ordinance, with the following Conditions of Approval:

1) This approval incorporates by reference all supporting plans that amend the previously approved plans submitted by the applicant and their representatives at Project Review Board meetings and hearings on the subject application to the extent that they are not in conflict with other stated conditions.

2) Prior to any construction, the applicant obtain any applicable permits from the Freeport Codes Enforcement Officer.

Young's Lane Subdivision – Subdivision Amendment – PUBLIC HEARING		
Property Location:	Tax Assessor Map 26, Lot 4A-4 (17 Youngs Lane)	
Zoning Information:	Rural Residential I (RR-I)	
Review Type(s):	Subdivision Amendment	
Waivers Requested:	None	

Background: The applicant is seeking approval of an amendment to the previously approved subdivision plan for the Young's Lane Subdivision on Young's Lane. The proposed change includes a realignment of the road right-of-way boundary near Unit 6. The actual location of the constructed road will not be altered. This will result in the road not being perfectly centered in the right-of-way. The need for the change is to correct a setback issue identified for Unit 6. There will be no changes to the number or location of previously approved units and/or lots. A draft recording plan is included in the packet. A revision will be made available prior to the meeting to clean up some of the notes with minor details such as adding the new tax assessor map and lot numbers. The proposed change to the right of way boundary will remain as shown on the draft of the amended plan included in the packet.

Findings of Fact – Freeport Subdivision Ordinance:

11.1 Pollution

A. State Standard

Pollution. The proposed subdivision will not result in undue water or air pollution. In making the determination, the Board shall at least consider:

- The elevation of the land above sea level and its relation to the floodplains;
- 2. The nature of soils and subsoils and their ability to adequately support wastedisposal;
- 3. The slope of the land and its effect on effluents;
- 4. The availability of streams for disposal of effluents; and
- 5. The applicable state and local health and water resources rules and regulations.

No changes are proposed other than the location of a right-of-way boundary. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.2 Sufficient Water

A. State Standard

Sufficient water. The proposed subdivision has sufficient water available for the reasonably foreseeable needs of the subdivision.

No changes to water usage are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.3 Impact on Existing Water Supplies

A. State Standard

Municipal water supply. The proposed subdivision will not cause an unreasonable burden on an existing water supply, if one is to be used.

No changes to water usage are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.4 Soil Erosion

A. State Standard

Erosion. The proposed subdivision will not cause unreasonable sedimentation or a reduction in the land's capacity to hold water so that a dangerous or unhealthy condition results.

No changes are proposed other than the location of a right-of-way boundary. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.5 Traffic Conditions

A. State Standards

Traffic. The proposed subdivision will not cause unreasonable highway or public road congestion or unsafe conditions with respect to the use of the highways or public roads existing or proposed.

No changes to vehicular access are proposed. The area of the right-of-way near unit 6 is being adjusted slightly and since the location of the actual road is not proposing to change, it will not be located exactly in the center of the right of way in that area. The remainder of the right of way boundaries will remain as previously approved. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.6 Sewage Disposal

A. State Standards

Sewage disposal. The proposed subdivision will provide for adequate sewage waste disposal and will not cause an unreasonable burden on municipal services if they are utilized.

No changes to sewage disposal are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.7 Solid Waste

A. State Standard

Municipal solid waste disposal. The proposed subdivision will not cause an unreasonable burden on the municipality's ability to dispose of solid waste, if municipal services are to be utilized.

No changes to solid waste are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.8 Impact on Natural Beauty, Aesthetics, Historic Sites, Wildlife Habitat, Rare Natural Areas, or Public Access to the Shoreline

A. State Standard

Aesthetic, cultural, and natural values. The proposed subdivision will not have an undue adverse effect on the scenic or natural beauty of the area, aesthetics, historic sites, significant wildlife habitat identified by the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife or the municipality, rare and irreplaceable natural areas, or any public rights for physical or visual access to the shoreline.

The only change proposed is to a small section of the right-of-way boundary. Based upon this

information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.9 Conformance with Zoning Ordinance and Other Land UseOrdinances.

A. State Standard

Conformity with local ordinances and plans. The proposed subdivision conforms with a duly adopted subdivision ordinance, zoning ordinance, floodplain ordinance, the comprehensive plan, and other ordinances included in the municipal code as appropriate. In making this determination, the municipal reviewing authority may interpret these ordinances and plans.

No changes impacting the space and bulk standards are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.10 Financial and Technical Capacity

A. State Standard

Financial and technical capacity. The subdivider has adequate financial and technical capacity to meet the standards of this section.

The updated recording plan was prepared by Richard Hamilton, Professional Land Surveyor. No site changes are proposed other than a change to the right-of-way boundary. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.11 Impact on Water Quality or Shoreline

A. State Standard

Surface waters; outstanding river segments. Whenever situated entirely or partially within the watershed of any pond or lake or within two hundred and fifty (250) feet of any wetland, great pond, or river as defined in Title 38, Chapter 3, Subchapter I, Article 2-B¹, the proposed subdivision will not adversely affect the quality of that body of water or unreasonably affect the shoreline of that body of water.

This parcel is not located within the watershed of a great pond or lake nor is it within the Shoreland Zone. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.12 Impact on Ground Water Quality or Quantity

Ground water. The proposed subdivision will not, alone or in conjunction with existing activities, adversely affect the quality or quantity of ground water.

No changes that would impact water quality or quantity are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.13 Floodplain Management

A. State Standard

Flood areas. Based on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps, Flood Insurance Rate Maps, and information presented by the applicant whether the subdivision is in a flood-prone area. If the subdivision, or any part of it, is in such an area, the subdivider shall determine the 100-year flood elevation and flood hazard boundaries within the subdivision. The proposed subdivision plan must include a condition of plan approval requiring that principal structures in the subdivision will be constructed with their lowest floor, including the

basement, at least one foot above the 100-year flood elevation.

The area of the right-of-way is not shown to be in a flood plain. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.14 Identification of Freshwater Wetlands

A. State Standard

Freshwater wetlands. All freshwater wetlands within the proposed subdivision have been identified on any maps submitted as part of the application, regardless of the size of these wetlands. Any mapping of freshwater wetlands may be done with the help of the local soil and water conservation district.

No changes impacting wetlands are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.15 Rivers, Streams, and Brooks

A. State Standard

River, stream or brook. Any river, stream or brook within or abutting the proposed subdivision has been identified on any maps submitted as part of the application. For purposes of this section, "river, stream or brook" has the same meaning as in Title 38, Section 480-B, Subsection 9.

No changes impacting any rivers, streams or brooks are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.16 Storm Water Management

A. State Standard

Storm water. The proposed subdivision will provide for adequate storm water management.

No changes to the previously approved stormwater management plans are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.17 Spaghetti Lots

Spaghetti lots prohibited. If any lots in the proposed subdivision have shore frontage on a river, stream, brook, great pond, or coastal wetland as these features are defined in Title 38, Section 480-B, none of the lots created within the subdivision have a lot depth to shore frontage ratio greater than five (5) to one (1).

No new lots are proposed. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

11.18 Phosphorus Impacts on Great Ponds

A. State Standard

Lake phosphorus concentration. The long-term cumulative effects of the proposed subdivision will not unreasonably increase a great pond's phosphorus concentration during the construction phase and life of the proposed subdivision.

The development is not within the watershed of a great pond. Based upon this information, the Board

finds that this standard has been met.

11.19 Impacts on Adjoining Municipalities

A. State Standard

Impact on adjoining municipality. For any proposed subdivision that crosses municipal boundaries, the proposed subdivision will not cause unreasonable traffic congestion or unsafe conditions with respect to the use of existing public ways in an adjoining municipality in which part of the subdivision is located.

The parcels do not abut or cross the municipal boundary. Based upon this information, the Board finds that this standard has been met.

Conclusion: Based on these facts the Board finds that this project meets the criteria and standards of the Subdivision Ordinance.

<u>Proposed Motion:</u> Be it ordered that the Freeport Project Review Board approve the printed Findings of Fact and Amended Subdivision Plan for Fletcher Property Group., for a change to the boundary of the right-of-way in the Young's Lane Subdivision (Tax Assessor Map 26, Lot 4A-4), recording plat dated TBD, to be built substantially as proposed, finding that it meets the standards of the Freeport Subdivision Ordinance, with the following Conditions of Approval:

- 1) This approval incorporates by reference all supporting plans that amend the previously approved plans submitted by the applicant and their representatives at Project Review Board meetings and hearings on the subject application to the extent that they are not in conflict with other stated conditions.
- 2) Prior to the sale of Unit 6, the applicant provide the Town Planner with a letter from a Professional Land Surveyor stating that all monumentation shown on the plan has been installed.
- 3) The final signed copy of the recording plan shall be recorded in the Cumberland County Registry of Deeds within ninety (90) days of the date upon which the plan is signed otherwise the plan shall become null and void.
- 4) Prior to the sale of any additional units/lots, the applicant shall provide the Town Planner with a letter from a Professional Land Surveyor, stating that all monumentation shown on the plan has been installed.
- 5) The applicant shall provide the Town with digital file, in a format compatible with the Assessor's records, containing the information shown on the recording plan.