## Preparing Freeport for Storms and the Potential Impacts of Sea Level Rise

Peter Slovinsky, Marine Geologist Maine Geological Survey, Department of Conservation

> Rick Harbison, Land Use Planner Greater Portland Council of Governments

> > June 5, 2013







## Establishing the Scientific Groundwork Sea Level Rise Implications Storm Surge

"Educating" local stakeholders Setting the stage for vulnerability assessment work Linking past observations with current measurements to show importance Making the data "local" as much as possible

## Why does sea level change?

**Global Sea Levels...** 

**Ocean expands as it warms (Thermal Expansion)** 

The ocean's volume increases due to added water (Volumetric Increase due to melting glaciers and land-based ice sheets – big ones Greenland and Antarctica)

**Global climate variation** (impacts of ENSO, El Nino/La Niña warming and cooling patterns in the Pacific Ocean)

Relative (or "Local") Sea levels... Isostatic rebound (response of the crust to glaciation)

Subsidence (sinking of the land due to other factors than isostasy)

Seasonal Variations (due to local or regional weather patterns)

## Sea Levels Since the Last Ice Age





Data courtesy of NOAA CO-OPS, www.tidesandcurrents.nooa.gov

P.A. Slovinsky, Maine Geological Survey, March 11, 2013







#### Sea Level, Portland, Maine 1993-2012 (through December 31, 2012)







GSLRS USNCA, 12/6/2012

Year



**Recommend using a "Scenario" Based Approach** 



#### Portland, Maine Sea Level Variability by Month (1912-2012)



## Storm Surge "Superstorm Sandy"

NOAA/NOS/CO-OPS Verified Water Level vs. Predicted Plot 8516945 Kings Point, NY from 2012/10/29 - 2012/10/30

## Kings Point, NY 10/29-10/30/2012



## Storm Surge "Superstorm Sandy"

NOAA/NOS/CO-OPS Verified Water Level vs. Predicted Plot 8418150 Portland, ME from 2012/10/29 - 2012/10/30

## Portland, ME 10/29-10/30/2012





## Based on statistical analysis of hourly annual maximum tidal data at the Portland tide gauge from 1912-2012...

Interval (yrs)	High Water Level (ft, MLLW)
1	11.7
5	12.6
10	12.9
25	13.4
50	13.7
100	14.1



## **Sea Level and Storm Surge Summaries**

- Latest scientific predictions for SLR: 1 ft 2050, 2-3 ft by 2100, or more, highly dependent on future ice sheet input; the State of Maine has adopted 2 feet as a middle of the road prediction by the year 2100 for Coastal Sand Dunes.
- Storm surges of 2-3 feet are relatively common, but only cause damage when they **coincide with high tides**.
- There is only about a <u>one foot difference</u> between the "10 year" event and the "100 year" event; thus, a one-foot rise in sea level by 2050 would cause the "100 year" event to come about every 10 years because sea level rise lowers the recurrence interval of storms.
- A two-foot rise in sea level would result in water levels roughly equal to the 2007 Patriots' Day Storm during astronomically high tides <u>even</u> in good weather.
- Work with the concept of thinking and planning for <u>Today's Storms</u> and <u>Tomorrow's Tides</u>

# Sea Level Rise Planning in Maine...

## Maine's Coastal Policies - 1985

#### Title 38 M.R.S.A sec. 1801:

"The Legislature directs that state and local agencies and federal agencies as required by the United States Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, PL 92-583, with responsibility for regulating, planning, developing or managing coastal resources, shall conduct their activities affecting the coastal area consistent with the following policies to:

...Discourage growth and new development in coastal areas where, because of coastal storms, flooding, landslides or sealevel rise, it is hazardous to human health and safety;" United States Environmental Protection Agency Policy, Planning, And Evaluation (2122) EPA-230-R-95-900 September 1995

#### Anticipatory Planning For Sea-Level Rise Along The Coast of Maine





This report a joint effort in cooperation with State of Maine's State Planning Office.

## On the right track... in 1995!

## But it was never engaged at the local level

So it ended up shelved in the archives.

# More reports...and updated sea level regulations

2006 - As the result of a 2 year stakeholder process, Maine adopted 2 feet of sea level rise over the next 100 years, which was a "middleof-the road" prediction for global sea level rise, into its NRPA. Only coastal sand dunes.

P.A. Slovinsky, MGS

Protecting Maine's Beaches for the Future

A Proposal to Create an Integrated Beach Management Program



A Report of the Beach Stakeholder's Group to the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources 122<sup>nd</sup> Maine Legislature, 2<sup>nd</sup> Regular Session

February 2006



## **Even More recently...**

Working Groups:

Built Environment Coastal Environment Natural Environment Social Environment

Year-long Stakeholder Process led to the production of a report in early 2010.

 Major recommendations related to bringing tools, models, and technical data to the local decision-making level relating to sea level rise planning.

## Bringing it down to the local level Proactive Engagement

## **Coastal Hazard and Resiliency Tools (CHRT) Project**





**Coastal Hazard Resilience** 

Marsh Migration

**Emergency Management** 

Impacts to the Built and Natural Environments



## **The CHRT Process...**

## A <u>Long Process</u> from Initial Engagement to Implementation

- Develop an appropriate project team (state, regional, local) most comfortable with local stakeholders
- Provide significant levels of Technical Assistance (SLR Background, Policy, Vulnerability Assessment Data Development, Policy)
- Significant Community Education and Outreach
- Partnership Development
- Strategy Identification and Implementation

## **Assemble Vulnerability Assessment Data**

- Need adequate, ground-truthed LiDAR data coverage
- Sea Level Rise Scenarios (we typically have used a "scenario based approach", so 1, 2, 3, 6 feet by 2100)
- Data supporting storm elevations (i.e., effective "100-year" storm Flood Insurance Study data or other data)
- Data supporting natural feature mapping and simulation of SLR impacts (we use NOS tidal stations and VDATUM tool)
- Data supporting "assets at risk" (GIS layers from state, local sources, and others)

## **LiDAR** - Light Detection & Ranging Data

100,000 pulses of laser light per second are sent to the ground in sweeping lines

Sensors measure how long it takes each pulse to reflect back to the unit and calculates an "elevation"

Algorithms are used to "remove" buildings and vegetation types to create a "bare earth" digital elevation model (DEM)

Image from the Kelly Research and Outreach Lab, California Coastal LiDar Project

## 2006 LiDAR Bare Earth DEM

#### Freeport, ME 2006 LiDAR Bare Earth DEM

#### ElvftFreeport Elevation, ft, NAVD88





0.5 Miles

## **Vulnerability Assessment**

## **Major Assumptions**

- Sea level rise scenarios simulated (by 2100):
  - 1 foot, 2 feet, 3.3 feet, and 6.0 feet
- Scenarios assume static topography ('bathtub model').
- Scenarios do not include the effects of freshwater runoff from rain events or waves.
- The Highest Annual Tide (HAT), the 1% storm stillwater elevation, and a Category 1 Hurricane were used as a basis for simulating impacts to infrastructure.
- For assessing impacts to wetlands, tidal elevations were used as proxies for different marsh surfaces.
- For assessing impacts to roads, it was assumed that inundation of a road made it impassable but did not assume the road would be damaged.
- For assessing impacts to buildings, it was assumed that the entire building was impacted if inundation intersected the building footprint.

## Potential Impacts to Coastal Wetlands (Room for Wetland Transgression?)



## **Coastal wetlands**

"Coastal wetlands" means all tidal and subtidal lands; all areas with vegetation present that is tolerant of salt water and occurs primarily in salt water or estuarine habitat; and any swamp, marsh, bog, beach, flat or other contiguous lowland that is subject to tidal action during the highest tide level for each year in which an activity is proposed as identified in tide tables published by the National Ocean Service. Coastal wetlands may include portions of coastal sand dunes.

## **Required in Maine's Municipal Shoreland Zoning**

P.A. Slovinsky, MGS

### **Step 2: Setting the Stage with Tidal Elevations**

**Highest Annual Tide (HAT)** - "spring" tide, the highest predicted water level for any given year but is reached within several inches numerous tides a year

Mean sea level (MSL) = average height of the ocean's surface (high and low tide).



*Tidal elevations determined from nearby applicable NOS tidal stations (i.e., South Freeport)* 



## - Coastal wetland

Kower Mass

Bartol Isl. Rd.

## Fringing wetland

## **Open Water**

Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

0.08 Mile:

0.08 0.04

## - Coastal wetland

150 Meters

# Fringing wetland



Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

Existing Wetlands






### Little to no room for wetland expansion! This marsh is "at capacity"!

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation

150 Meters

#### Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery



**Cousins River Marshes** 





For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.



### Town Boundary Yarmouth)

80 Met

### – Coastal wetland



Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

Existing Wetlands

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

Old County Rd.



<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	Par
(Acres)	66.86	9.07	6.73	Contraction of the local distribution of the
	March 1 and 1	Contra Maria	CONTRACTOR	

180 Meters

### Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery



For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

(Acres) 66.86 9.07 6.73 10	.47

180 Meters

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

0

### Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

Coastal Wetlands	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft
(Acres)	66.86	9.07	6.73	10.47	45.27

Room for expansion in upper reaches Little room in main areas Need to maintain good tidal connections! Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

Existing Wetl	ands
+1 ft SLR	
+2 ft SLR	~
+3.3 ft SLR	
+6 ft SLR	





Fringing wetland

## Coastal wetland

Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

Staples Point Rd.

100 Meters

<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing
(Acres)	25.86

Fringing wetland

Coastal wetland



Existing Wetlands

Staples Point Rd.

100 Meters

<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing	+1 ft
(Acres)	25.86	3.28



For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

Staples Point Rd.

100 Meters

<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft
(Acres)	25.86	3.28	2.20

# Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery





For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

Staples Point Rd.

100 Meters

<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft
(Acres)	25.86	3.28	2.20	3.80

### **Pinching out of fringing** wetland

### Wetland expansion

areas

#### Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery



Staples Point Rd.

100 Meters

### Limited room for expansion in up to 3.3 foot scenarios

Staples Point Rd

100 Meters

Coastal Wetlands	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft
(Acres)	25.86	3.28	2.20	3.80	23.86

## **Pinching out of fringing** wetland

### Wetland expansion

areas

#### Freeport, ME 2012 6 inch imagery

Existing Wetle	ands
+1 ft SLR	
+2 ft SLR	
+3.3 ft SLR	
+6 ft SLR	

### **Potential Priority Migration Areas**

<b>Coastal Wetlands</b>	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft	
(Acres)	472.37	60.82	60.59	83.71	130.99	シート

Salt Brook Rd. So. Freeport Rd. Bow St./Flying Point Rd. Mast Landing Audubon

> Upper Reaches Burnett Rd.

**Cousins River Marshes** 

Main St. Marina Facilities

### Winslow Memorial Park

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.





# **Conclusions on Coastal Wetlands**

In the whole town, approximately 335 acres of upland (under the 6 foot scenario) are *available for potential conversion* to marsh, with 5 identified "priority areas" for potential management.

Many of the existing larger expanses of marsh are currently <u>near capacity</u>, and simulations show limited areas of expansion except for upper reaches. Certain areas (e.g., Cousins River, Upper reaches Harraseeket River) have areas of fringing uplands with elevations that may allow conversion to coastal wetlands over time. *Identification of these uplands for management, and maintenance/enhancement of tidal connections to upper reaches is key to allowing natural marsh migration.* 

Simulations demonstrate that *many narrow, fringing marsh areas may be lost (converted to open water)* due to adjacent steeper sloped uplands.

*Estimates do not account for erosion or sedimentation and assume static topography.* 



### **Conditions Simulated – Infrastructure Vulnerability**

### Assessment

**Highest Annual Tide (HAT)** is the highest predicted water level due to tides for any given year but is reached within several inches numerous tides a year.

**1% stillwater level** is the "100 year" storm water level, taken from the Freeport's <u>effective</u> FEMA Flood Insurance Study (FIS). This was the February 7, 1978 Noreaster' Storm.

**Category 1, high MOM** is the expected "Maximum of Maximums" water level from a land-falling Category 1 hurricane at mean high tide from NWS SLOSH Model.

Meterlaya		Ele	vation (ft, N	/ILLW)	
water Level	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6 ft
Highest Annual Tide	11.8	12.8	13.8	15.1	17.8
1% stillwater level	14.2	15.2	16.2	17.5	20.2
Category 1, high MOM	17.6	18.6	19.6	20.9	23.6

For each scenario, *projected sea level rise was added to each tidal elevation for simulation of potential impacts* to <u>buildings</u>, and transportation infrastructure.

# Base 2006 "Bare Earth" LiDAR 2x hillshade

## Mosaic and clip to municipal boundaries



# **Buildings and Transportation Infrastructure** (overlain onto Base LiDAR)

Polygon layers for parcels and buildings (municipal) Polyline layers for roads and rail lines (State)



## **Simulate Inundation Levels**

# Determine future inundation levels under different scenarios

## **Identify Inundated Infrastructure**

### Determine inundation impacts to buildings/infrastructure



**Potential Impacts to Transportation Infrastructure** Assumption: road is "impacted" if inundated with any water

Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft
Highest Annual Tide	0.16	0.20	0.28	0.38	0.92
		CITE ON CHICKEN	Table Ship	1. 110 A. 110	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

000 Meters

Bow St.

Bartol Isl. Rd.

Burnett Rd.

So. Freeport Rd - 🚺



Route 1

Merganser Way

Bustins Isl. Rd.

Staples Pt. Rd.

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.



Potential Transportation Impacts

Roads (HAT) Roads (HAT+1 ft) Roads (HAT+2 ft) Roads (HAT+3.3 ft) Roads (HAT+6 ft) E911Roads



Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft	Bow St.	
Highest Annual Tide	0.16	0.20	0.28	0.38	0.92		Potential Transportation Impacts
1% storm event	0.30	0.38	0.52	0.93	2.06	A LANGE	Roads (1% storm)
and the second		S AL	165	11-20-20			Roads (1% storm+1 ft)
	San San			C.C.S.		A A A	Roads (1% storm+2 ft)
			12			K MARIN	
J Calific March 1/1/1	18 miles	10					Roads (1% storm +6 ft)
						Bartol/Isl Rd	E911Roads
	50.	Free	port	Rd.		Barton Ish Ha.	
				1 26			
Webster Rd.	110		NA N		4	Burnet	tt Rd. Marietta Ln
		*	任件	言う思		100 148 151/10	
	Sector 1				97°		
				S			
Old County Rd.			I	Mai	n St.		
Sugar Allant					5		ALCON
The second second		and the second	1º		- 27		
A 16 The	34 AND 4	and the	de la como		An		
	de la co		Ø			Merganser Way	
			7	6		Š N	
Route 1	E FER			4		<u> </u>	
US Route 295	A Part	1	e de	<b>_</b>			U CAR
		20		~ 1	7	/	
	Thas?		Ø.			Bustins Isl. Rd.	
	States and		C+an	loc Dt	Dd		34288
	y .		Stap	nes Pl.	Ku. 4		
	and the						
1,000 (500) 0	1,000 Meters						
		For	general	plannina pi	urposes onl	y. Static inundation simulation.	MANIE GEOLOGICALS

Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft	Bow St.	Potential Transportation Impacts
Highest Annual Tide	0.16	0.20	0.28	0.38	0.92		Boads (Cat 1)
1% storm event	0.30	0.38	0.52	0.93	2.06		Roads (Cat 1+1ft)
CAT 1 Hurricane (high)	0.91	1.25	1.57	2.39	N/A		Boods (Cat + 711)
い、金属を一方の					A CONTRACTOR	less a small	Poods (Cat1+3.3 #)
会"有限的"小路"。	A.C.		19.2			KA STA	EB11 Deede
3 N. 1. 19 - 19 / 19	Contraction of the second	78					Egitroaus
					3.	Portol Icl Dd	
	So.	Free	port	Rd.		Bartorisi. Nu.	
				1 4	24		
Wohster Pd						Burnet	t Rd Marietta Ln
Webster Ad.	1 Aller	17. Mar		一個			
	a subscription of the						
	<b>以</b> 有些重	Sec.	des Alle		N.	1 1 × 0 86	
Old County Rd.	and the second		F PA		n St		
Strange 11	A State			Ivia	II 31.		\$10 m
		a and	A Res		1		
	and the second	1940	a de la compañía de la		1 The		and the second s
	Sa Pile	ant P Maritana	940			Morgansor Way	
		a de la	7	ł			y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y
Route 1							
US Route 295	in states	S.	J.	1			
			J.	2			o (27)
	hant					Bustins Isl. Rd. 🏑	
		C.					
N			Śtap	les Pt.	Rd.		
	x	ŧ.					
1.0005	000 Matero						
		For	aeneral	olannina pi	urposes on	ly. Static inundation simulation.	Malke of model and







Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6.0 ft	Bow St.	Potential Transportation Impacts
Highest Annual Tide	0.16	0.20	0.28	0.38	0.92		Poads (Cat 1)
1% storm event	0.30	0.38	0.52	0.93	2.06		Boads (Cat 1+1ft)
CAT 1 Hurricane (high)	0.91	1.25	1.57	2.39	N/A		Boods (Cot1+2ft)
い、金属をしてい			Last.			And the second second	$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Roads} \left( \operatorname{Cat1} \pm 3.2  \theta \right)$
	Ph ( Sala		102			Real Provide	E011 Decide
	A Start	78	A States				
					3.	Portol Icl. Dd	
	So.	Free	port	Rd.		Dartorisi. Ku.	
				1 and			
Wohrtor Dd	1 f sta			>		Rurnot	Rd Marietta Ln
webster Ku.		19 2 A		<b>BAR</b>		Durnet	
	1.5	6					
					Ŵ		
Old County Rd.			F				
CONT STAP				Ivia	n St.		S.C. m
		a dente de la			Æ	X	
			. Je		St 7/2		
	A. Bart	and Participant	1004		144		
			/			Merganser Way	
Dente					<i>94</i>	7	Alter I
Route 1				1			
US Route 295	Ale a	14. 	12	2			
BALL RESID	AN ALLAN	1				Bustins Isl. Rd.	
· NEARLA		R	es.				
N	9		Stap	les Pt.	Rd.		
		¥			4		
	Constant of						
1,000 500 0 1	1,000 Meters			- XI			
and the second se		For	general	planning p	irposes of	ly. Static inundation simulation.	MAINE GEOLOGICAL











Cat 1

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

40 20

Meters

### **Summary of Road Vulnerability and Potential Action**

Pood Namo	Highest Annual Tide			When	Vhen 1% storm					When Cat 1 Hurricane			ane	When			
Kudu Name	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	+6ft	Act?	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	+6ft	Act?	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	Act?
Bartol Isl. Rd.						Now						Now					Now
Marietta Way						Now						Now					Now
Merganser Ln.						Now						Now					Now
Main St. (end)						Now						Now					Now
Burnett Rd.						>2050						Now					Now
Bow St./Upper Mast						>2050						<2050					Now
So. Freeport Rd.						<2050						Now					Now
Cove Rd.						>2050						<2050					Now
Old County Rd.						>2050						>2050					Now
Webster Rd.						None						>2050					>2050
US Route 295N						None						>2050					>2050
US Route 295S						None						>2050					<2050
US Route 1						>2050						Now					Now
Staples Pt Rd.						>2050						>2050					Now
Bustins Isl Rd.						>2050						>2050					Now

No impact	
Little impact	
Moderate to seve	re impact

For general planning purposes only. Is not meant to be an exact planning time horizon and does not account for all potential mpacts.



# **Summary of Road Vulnerability**

# The GOOD news...

- Only 15 vulnerable roads have been identified (there are other small associated segments not discussed).
- Only 3 of 15 roads have immediate impacts and planning needs to highest tides, and are privately owned
- Majority of public roads will not be impacted by highest tides until sometime likely around or after 2050, which allows planning time
- Of 7 roads that may require immediate potential adaptation to the 1% storm event, 3 are privately owned.

# The not so good news...

- 7 of 15 roads may require immediate potential adaptation to the 1% storm event; the majority by 2050
- 12 of 15 roads may require immediate potential adaptation to a Category 1 hurricane.


## **Potential Impacts to Buildings and Infrastructure**

Assumption: a building is "impacted" if the footprint is intersected by water (includes piers and wharves)

FREEPORT



Merganser Way

Private Islands

Staples Pt. Rd.

Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6 ft
Highest Annual Tide	6	7	10	14	24

70 Meters

435

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

#### **Potential Impacts to Buildings**

Buildings (HAT) Buildings (HAT+1ft) Buildings (HAT+2ft) Buildings (HAT+3.3ft) Buildings (HAT+6ft) Building Footprints



HAT

Birch Point

Cushing Briggs Rd

So. Freeport Rd.

Main St.

Burnett Rd.

Merganser Way

Private Islands

Staples Pt. Rd.

		11			
Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6 ft
Highest Annual Tide	6	7	10	14	24
1% storm event	11	14	18	24	46

70 Meters

N

435

#### **Potential Impacts to Buildings**

Buildings (1%storm) Buildings (1%storm+1ft) Buildings (1%storm+2ft) Buildings (1%storm+3.3ft) Buildings (1%storm+6ft) Building Footprints





and the second se	CONCESSION AND ADDRESS				
Scenario	Existing	+1 ft	+2 ft	+3.3 ft	+6 ft
Highest Annual Tide	6	7	10	14	24
1% storm event	11	14	18	24	46
CAT 1 Hurricane (high)	24	27	36	50	N/A

870 Meters

435

#### Potential Impacts to Buildings

Buildings\_(Cat1) Buildings (Cat1+1ft) Buildings (Cat1+2ft) Buildings (Cat1+3.3 ft) Building Footprints



Cat 1





HAT

60 Meters

Buildings (1%storm) Buildings (1%storm+1ft) Buildings (1%storm+2ft) Buildings (1%storm+3.3ft) Buildings (1%storm+6ft) Building Footprints



D Mieters





Cat 1

Meters

Birch Point

### Cushing Briggs Rd

So. Freeport Rd.

70 Meters

435

Main St.

Burnett Rd.

Merganser Way

Private Islands

Staples Pt. Rd.

Potential Impacts to Buildings

Buildings\_(Cat1) Buildings (Cat1 +1ft) Buildings (Cat1 +2ft) Buildings (Cat1 +3.3 ft) Building Footprints





Buildings (1%storm) Buildings (1%storm+1ft) Buildings (1%storm+2ft) Buildings (1%storm+3.3ft) Buildings (1%storm+6ft) Building Footprints



140 Meter

For general planning purposes only. Static inundation simulation.

Staples Pt. Rd.



### Cushing Briggs Rd

So. Freeport Rd.

70 Meters

435

Main St.

Burnett Rd.

Birch Point

Merganser Way

Private Islands

Staples Pt. Rd.

#### Potential Impacts to Buildings

Buildings\_(Cat1) Buildings (Cat1 +1ft) Buildings (Cat1 +2ft) Buildings (Cat1 +3.3 ft) Building Footprints





# Potential Impacts to Buildings Buildings (1% storm) Buildings (1%storm+1ft) Buildings (1%storm+2ft) Buildings (1%storm+3.3ft) Buildings (1%storm+6ft) Building Footprints



## **Summary of Building Vulnerability and Action**

Neighborhood	Highest Annual Tide				When	When 1% storm				When	Cat 1 Hurricane				When		
	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	+6ft	Act?	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	+6ft	Act?	Exist	+1ft	+2ft	+3.3ft	Act?
Merganser Way						Now						Now					Now
Main St. (end)						Now						Now					Now
Staples Pt Rd.						None						>2050					<2050
Cushing Briggs Road						>2050						Now					Now

No impact
Little impact (1-3 structures)
More than 3 structures

For general planning purposes only. Is not meant to be an exact planning time horizon and does not account for all potential impacts.



## Summary of Infrastructure Vulnerability The GOOD news...

- There are relatively few impacts overall only 4 general areas of impacts, with some isolated other areas.
- Impacts under HAT are isolated to piers and wharves and private island structures.
- Only 1 area is currently at moderate risk to HAT flooding and 1% storm flooding (Main Street area)
- Critical infrastructure (sewer treatment plant, police, fire and emergency facilities, rail lines) are currently positioned to avoid impacts from future SLR/storms.

## The not so good news...

 3 of the 4 identified areas may need adaptation to deal with existing 1% storm or Category 1 hurricane in order to avoid severe (greater than 3 structures) impacts.



## Some Potential Adaptation Techniques to consider



## **Potential Adaptation Techniques**

## **Acquire Open Space**

- Prevent conflicts before they occur
- Protect wetlands and allow the ocean to migrate inland naturally
- Wetlands act as a buffer against storm surge

Consider prioritizing wetlands, and areas of undeveloped uplands which may have potential to allow for the landward migration of coastal marshes.

Freeport Conservation Trust, Cousin's River

## **Potential Adaptation Techniques**

**Protect Clam Flats** 

Consider protecting clam flats and their upland areas to allow for inland migration



Though it was beyond the scope of this study, consider how SLR may impact vital clam flat resources. Inundation times? Depths? Losses? Conversion? Changes of species?

2	In Maine , green crabs threaten clamming   The Portland Press Herald / Maine Sunday Telegram - Mozilla Firefox	
Eile	e Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help	
p	In Maine, green crabs threaten clamming   T +	
*	www.pressherald.com/news/in-maine-green-crabs-threaten-clamming_2013-05-27.html	

#### Portland Press Herald | News Food & Drink News Business Outdoors Opinion Sports

Lifestyle

🖂 😨

Кеер Posted: May 27, 2013 10:56PM Last modified: May 28. 2013 12:40PM In Maine, green crabs threaten clamming thinking Freeport funds study as clammers take the offensive. how other species might be impacted by changes



## **Potential Adaptation Techniques**

### Manage Coastal Bluff Stability

Bluffs & Rocky Shores

Search this site: Search

Search this guide:

Search

Maine Property Owner's Guide to Managing Flooding, Erosion & Other Coastal Hazards

- Guide Home
- Beaches & Dunes
- Bluffs & Rocky Shores
- Coastal Wetlands
- The Maine Coast
- Maps & Data
- Permitting & Rules
- Assistance
- About
- References
- FAQ
- Printer-friendly version
- Site Map

Maine Sea Grant Marine Science for Maine People

> The majority (58%) of the Maine coast is hard rock. The rocky coast is relatively stable over time, but soil can erode along the shoreline. Another 40% or 1,400 miles of Maine's shoreline has soft bluffs: tall (over three feet), with steep slopes of loose rock, gravel, clay, or sand that easily **erode**. One of the biggest hazards associated with soft bluffs is the threat of **landslides**, especially in high coastal bluffs made of muddy

Home > Maine Property Owner's Guide to Managing Flooding, Erosion & Other Coastal Hazards

sediment.

NOTE: Maine classifies all areas below the highest annual tide elevation, including rocky shores, sand beaches, mud flats, and salt marshes, as "Coastal Wetlands." In order to facilitate problem solving on this website, Coastal Wetland types have been grouped into three categories: Beaches & Dunes; Bluffs & Rocky Shores; and Coastal Wetlands.

#### Learn more about Maine's rocky shores and soft bluffs.

A checklist has been developed to help you identify and rank bluff hazards, using the maps and other resources in this guide and by conducting a field inventory of your property.

Download Bluff & Landslide checklist - 123KB



Hard Bluff



Soft Bluff





## **Potential Adaptation Techniques**

## Improve Shoreland Zoning Maps

- You can't begin to deal with adaptation unless you know where your shoreline is!
- Use LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) data to set an accurate shoreline position
- Highest Annual Tide Level HAT can be defined using LiDAR data; this is being developed at the State level for next year.



Identifying Salt Marsh Restrictions

ABOUT US

Search CBEP:

ABOUT CASCO BAY PROJECTS NEWS & EVENTS

CBEP developed a database of sites around Casco Bay where restricted tidal flow threatens salt marsh habitat, with the goal of prioritizing sites for restoration. After examining surveys and aerial photographs, CBEP conducted field evaluations with the help of Maine Department of Transportation, the Maine Geological Survey, and the GIS lab at the University of Southern Maine. So far, the study has identified 128 known or suspected tidal restrictions throughout the watershed (see map, right).

#### **Fixing Tidal Restrictions**

HOME

A "tidal restriction" is a place along the coast where an artificial structure -usually a road -- limits the reach or reduces the flow of the tide. Casco Bay's coastline, shaped by the region's glacial history, has many long, narrow bays, tidal inlets and coastal wetlands. The above mentioned survey identified locations around the Bay where tides are restricted by anthropogenic structures. Tidal restriction sites are attractive targets for restoration, as work at the restriction can increase tidal flow to large areas. Restoration of tidal flow can increase and quality of salt marsh



RESOURCES

🔎 🦊 🏫

-Factsheet: Casco Bay Tidal Wetland Restriction Assessment 2012-2013



Consider removing or enhancing identified tidal restrictions to maintain flow for wetland expansion

Ensure proper culvert sizing

R. Harbison, GPCOG

Ensure vulnerable infrastructure is adequately protected...the sewer treatment plant is!

Elevate vulnerable infrastructure, including sewer pump stations, roads, culverts and bridges

#### **Potential Transportation Impacts**



- Roads (Cat1 +3.3 ft)
- E911Roads

**Emergency Access Rerouting** 

Identify major storm surge breach points, and revise evacuation routes accordingly.

Consider elevating, relocating, or protecting vulnerable transportation infrastructure South Freeport Road

**Consider elevating, relocating, or protecting vulnerable transportation infrastructure** *Route 1, Yarmouth into Freeport* 

Consider elevating, relocating, or protecting vulnerable transportation infrastructure Route 1, Freeport into Yarmouth

Last all and the

R. Harbison, GPCOG

ogle maps

## **Elevating Roads**

Search Maps

This has happened already in Norfolk Virginia, and is under discussion in Kennebunkport at Goose Rocks Beach

Ensure that key water-based infrastructure is adequately constructed.

FREEPORT

P.A. Slovinsky, MGS

In vulnerable floodplain areas, consider increasing "freeboard" to include sea level rise (i.e., 3 feet above the 100 year BFE); this can significantly decrease flood insurance policies.

## **Transferable Lessons Learned**

- Consider multi-level partnerships related to SLR planning issues. They bring resources to the table that are not currently available at each level.
- Consider incorporating SLR into local ordinances and comprehensive plan
- For vulnerability assessments, consider using a "Scenario Based Approach" - it builds on the concept of "no regrets actions" and covers a range of scientific predictions, criticality of infrastructure, and enables manageable planning horizons.

Consider using a range of adaptation strategies (do nothing, fortification, relocation, abandonment, soft-solutions) based on vulnerability and criticality of infrastructure. There are C-B tools out there to help do this.
## Lessons Learned...continued

Consider all adaptation actions, but **bring planning time horizons and goals down to realistic levels**...you don't have to tackle it all at once! (shoot for the "low hanging fruit")

Don't necessarily separate the discussion of natural from built environment impacts – keep environmentalists, planners, architects, public works staff, engineers and emergency personnel around the same table.

Expect unforeseen delays and to work on extended timeframes
- expect to take your time!

Stick with the concept of planning for the storms of today and tides of tomorrow

## Preparing Freeport for Storms and the Potential Impacts of Sea Level Rise

Peter A. Slovinsky, Marine Geologist Maine Geological Survey Department of Conservation <u>peter.a.slovinsky@maine.gov</u> http://www.maine.gov/doc/nrimc/mgs/mgs.htm



Rick Harbison, Land Use Planner



Greater Portland Council of Governments <u>rharbison@gpcog.org</u> http://www.gpcog.org