COASTAL WATERS COMMISSION

3/9/2022

Meeting called to order by Mark Morrisey

Attending: Mark Morrissey, Jeff Stenzel. Todd Yankee, Dayton Benway, Laurie Orlando, Peter Polovich Joseph Frazer, Charles Tetreault Harbormaster

HARBORMASTER REPORT

There were 4 mooring turnovers this year. There are 100 people on waiting list. We are capped at 350. Due to the gradually increasing size of the boats at some point in the future that may have to be reduced. A brief discussion of aqua culture permits and air boats for info only occurred,

WHARFING OUT APPLICATIONS

78 Birch Point Rd. Ronald Elliot owner. Install a seasonal dock with a pier 4’x88’. Amp 3’x2 and float 6’x12.The project is unique since it is made of aluminum. The description is contained in the transcript. There were several questions raised The drawing and maps did not seem to match, There was a question as to whether any movement might undermine the bank. There was also a question as to whether this structure would interfere with another structure if a neighbor wanted a dock. Peter will stake out the site, and get drawings and maps that match. And we will plan a site visit.

34 Castle rd.Ann Lorenz and Adam Dierkhising

55’ stairs,5’x65’ permanent pier head, 3’x50’seasonal gangway, 12’x40’ seasonal float. The project does not exceed the 125’ limit because the gangway overlaps the float. The commission wanted the project sited on google maps .this will be provided

PENDING APPLICATIONS

31Bartoll Island Road The application was reviewed and approved unanimously.

KEATES PROJECT

Town lawyer addressed the committee. The Keates project which was rejected by the CWC went to court. We rejected it for two reasons 1.the dimensions of project should be no larger than is consistent with the character of the surroundings.2 the project will remain in general harmony with that of existing activities in the adjacent area. The court felt number 2 was too vague and could not be used. The court wanted more particulars re: reason one. Amy confirmed by roll call that all commission members had read the court decision and refreshed our memory concerning the particulars of the case. It was decided that rather than voting tonight that Amy would take notes and come back to the commission with a draft summary which we could vote on.

Comments tonight included but were not limited to the following: (we refer you to the transcript for all comments) Concern was raised that although the project was proposed at allow launching of small human propelled craft i.e. kayaks there was nothing to stop motorized craft from being used. There were no other structures near the proposed structure of any dimension. The size of the dock at 386 sq. ft. was inconsistent with a brook only 25’ wide. The conservation land trust and neighbors testimony stated that a dock this size was not consistent with the character of the area. Members of the commission stated they knew this site well and felt that the dimensions of the project was not consistent with the character of the surroundings. The town lawyer stated she would formulate a draft of our findings.

The meeting was adjourned by a vote of the commission.